

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brot 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usqio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/990,074	11/21/2001	Gernot M. Hirse	22750/503	1487
	590 11/15/2004		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			COLE, LAURA C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/990,074	HIRSE, GERNOT M.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura C Cole	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 01 November 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ACE THIS APPLICATION I avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendment all (with appeal fee); or (3)	N CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application in a timely filed Request for Continued	
	REPLY [check either a) or b))]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date e later than SIX MONTHS from th AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH	ne mailing date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the correspond of the shortened statutory period t ffice later than three months offer	ling amount of the fee. The appropriate extension	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dism	the period set forth in issal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	Decause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth		earch (see NOTF below):	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	aron (coo ito i E bolow),	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or		materially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		or or milesy regested stanner.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted	•	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been	considered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a)∏ will not be entered ould be rejected is provide	d or b) will be entered and and below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		• •	
Claim(s) allowed: 8.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1.2 and 5-7.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved	d by the Examiner	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No	(s)	
0. Other:	T(=)(/ / G / / / O) T apor No	(3)	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The language of Claim 1 that recites "...grooves which run at an angle to a perpendicular of the hinge edge..." It is unclear to the Examiner as to what "a perpendicular" of the hinge edge would be. Furthermore, the "angle to a perpendicular" would require further consideration and searching, since drains running at an angle to a perpendicular of a hinge edge may be running in a

Robert J. Warden, SR.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700